



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE Patent and Trademark ffice

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

-	SE	RIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO	
	Ü	77533,452	12/23/90	TU_L	14.		Ŧ.	F30 - 80/66	
						EXAMINER			
							MARTINEL	NELL, J	
	О 4	AMPSELL ANI	D FLORES A VILLAGE I	SRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	8	DITE 700 AJOLLA, CA					1405	16	
	•••						DATE MAILED.	12/18/93	
		communication from the SIONER OF PATENTS /	examiner in charge of yi AND TRADEMARKS	our application.			-		
⊠т	nis ar	optication has been e	examined 📈	Responsive	to communication	on filed on	1192 🗵	This action is made final.	
A sho	rtene	nd statutory period fo	or response to this ac	tion is set to	expire	3 month	s). —— down	s from the date of this letter.	
			eriod for response wi						
Part I		THE FOLLOWING A	ATTACHMENT(S) AF	RE PART OF	THIS ACTION:				
3.		Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.							
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION									
1.	<b>Z</b> 1	Claims 40-43, 45-47, 49, 51-61, 4nd 63 are pending in the application.							
	Of the above, claims 40-43, 45-47, 49, 51, and 52 are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Of the above, claims 40-45, 45 41, 11, 31, and 52 are withdrawn from considerable and the second sec								
			•						
3.									
4.	Ø	ClaimsS	3-61 and	43				are rejected.	
5.		Claims						are objected to.	
6.		Claims		·		are	subject to restriction	n or election requirement.	
7.	Ø	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.							
8.		Formal drawings are	e required in respons	se to this Off	ice action.				
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on							
10.		The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. If disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).							
11.		The proposed draw	ring correction, filed	on	, has	been 🖸 appr	oved. D disapprov	ved (see explanation).	
12.								eived  not been received	
		been filed in pa	rent application, seri	ial no		; filed on	·····		
13.			on appears to be in o e practice under Ex p				ers, prosecution as t	o the merits is closed in	
14.		Other							

أأداب والمار والمعاول المتحامة والموافق فتفل والمحصصية ويوافقي والأراءة المرازا والمعارية

Claims 40-43. 45-47, 49, 51, and 52 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9. See MPEP 818.03(a).

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claims 53-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is enabling only for claims limited to the preparation of stabilized forms of oligodeoxyribonucleotides that are phosphotriesters. See M.P.E.P. §§ 706.03(n) and 706.03(z). This is a new ground of rejection. The instant application does not give one of skill in the art guidance in connection with other forms of oligodeoxyribonucleotides that would be stable in vivo. In the absence of such a teaching, it would require undue experimentation for one of skill in the art to discover and synthesize such compounds.

Claims 53-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is repeated essentially for reasons already of record (e.g., Office action mailed August 6, 1991, page 3). The recitation of "stabilized form" is vague and indefinite. It is noted that the instant application mentions only one stabilized form (viz., phosphotriesters) and that those forms are claimed in the parent application (U.S. Patent No. 5,023,243).

Serial No. 07/633,452 Art Unit 1805 -3-

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 53-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Itakura et al in view of either one of Paterson et al or Hastie et al in further view of either one of Summerton or Miller et al. This rejection is repeated essentially for reasons already of record (e.g., Office action mail d August 1, 1991, pages 4-5). Applicant's arguments in paper no. 9 and in Exhibit A of paper no. 9 are not convincing because the instant claims are not limited in the same manner as were the claims in the parent application.

Claims 53-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Ts'o et al ('863). This rejection is repeated essentially for reasons already of record (e.g., Office action mailed August 1, 1991, page 5). Applicant's arguments (paper no. 9) and the copy of the Rule 131 declaration by Dr. Campbell are not convincing because a Rule 131 declaration can be filed only by the inventor.

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1805.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1800 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gaz tte, 1096 OG 30 (Nov mber 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 308-4227.

Serial No. 07/633,452 Art Unit 1805 -4-

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to J. Martinell at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

Martinell

JAMES MARTINELL, Ph.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 185